



**GOVERNMENT ARCHITECT'S
STRATEGY AND DESIGN
PREQUALIFICATION SCHEME**

**Feedback Form for
Agencies**

Government Architect
New South Wales
L3, 320 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

government.architect
@planning.nsw.gov.au
T +61(02)9860 1450

governmentarchitect.nsw.gov.au



GOVERNMENT ARCHITECT'S STRATEGY AND DESIGN PREQUALIFICATION SCHEME

Feedback Form for Agencies

Name of Agency: _____

Contact Details: _____

Date: _____

To provide feedback on the scheme overall, please complete the form below and email to NSWbuy@finance.nsw.gov.au.

Feedback Questions		< Poor-Good-Excellent >				
1	How do you rate the following aspects of the Scheme?					
a)	Adequacy of Scheme Guidelines and documentation.	1	2	3	4	5
b)	Adequacy of training documentation: Buyer - Buying from a prequalification scheme and Buyer - Review, evaluate and accept / reject RFX responses	1	2	3	4	5
c)	Ability to download a list of service providers from the eQuote system	1	2	3	4	5
d)	Usefulness and relevance of the list to the procurement need	1	2	3	4	5
e)	Ability to run an RFX on the eQuote system.	1	2	3	4	5
2	How do you rate the value for money provided by the service providers engaged under the Scheme?	1	2	3	4	5
3	How do you rate the quality of the outcomes of the engagements with service providers engaged under the Scheme?	1	2	3	4	5
4	Do you think that using the Scheme has saved you time in the procurement process?	Yes		No		
a)	Will you continue to utilise the Scheme for future procurement needs for strategy and design related services?	Yes		No		
b)	Comments:					
c)						

Feedback Questions		< Poor-Good-Excellent >				
5	Do you utilise the eQuote system to run RFX's?	Yes	No			
b)	If not, why not? Add comments:					
c)	If using the eQuote system to run an RFX do you provide a performance report for the engagement?	Yes	No			
d)	If not, why not? Add comments:					
6	What made you decide to select the service providers from the Scheme's prequalified list?					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) agency policy b) reduced tender time / ease of use c) quality of service providers d) information on eQuote 					
	e) Other, please specify:					
7.	Are there other services that you think should be included in this Scheme?					
	Please specify:					
8.	How do you think the Scheme process can be further improved?					
	Comments:					
9	Overall, how would you rate the operations of the Scheme?	1	2	3	4	5